The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEP 1 9 2006

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte LEONARD M. GREENE

Application 10/659,334

## ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

On August 22, 2006, applicant filed a timely Reply Brief. In accordance with the revision effective September 13, 2004, Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 41.43 states:

(a)(1)... the primary examiner must acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief. In addition, the primary examiner may withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution or may furnish a supplemental examiner's answer responding to any new issue raised in the reply brief. Application 10/659,334

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is remanded to the Examiner for consideration and proper response to the Reply Brief and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By:

DALE M. SHAW

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator

(571) 272-9797

GJH

cc: LOWE HAUPTMEN BERNER LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314